

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	GDATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/879,146	06/12/2001		Koon-Wah Leong	LFS-114	5234
24353	7590 10/08/2003			EXAMINER	
		FRANCIS LL	OLSEN, KAJ K		
200 MIDDL SUITE 200	EFIELD RD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	RK, CA 94	025	1753		

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{A}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/879,146	LEONG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kaj Olsen	1753
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
Period for Reply	DIVIC CET TO EVDIDE 4 M	ONTH(S) EDOM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allocal closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> are subject to restriction and/oApplication Papers	or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc	cepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		lisapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	•	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the principle application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has b	een received.
Attachment(s)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/879,146

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-30, 36-42 and 51-56, drawn to a measurement system, classified in class 600, subclass 573.
 - II. Claims 31-35, drawn to sensor device, classified in class 204, subclass 403.01.
 - III. Claims 43-50, drawn to biological fluid testing method, classified in class 205, subclass 777.5.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because claims 19 and 56 evidence that the combination can be utilized for non-electrochemical applications such as colorimetric or conductivity based analysis. The subcombination has separate utility such as with separately provided skin-piercing element or micro-needle.
- 3. Inventions (I or II) and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the

Application/Control Number: 09/879,146

Art Unit: 1753

product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process can be utilized with a non-porous electrode.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: electrochemical cells (claims 1-42, 51-55) and colorimetric cells (claim 56).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 43-50 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 09/879,146

Art Unit: 1753

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Carol LaSalle on 10-6-2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but a written restriction was requested.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaj Olsen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0506. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 AM-4:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Nam Nguyen, can be reached at (703) 308-3322.

When filing a fax in Group 1700, please indicate in the header "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of this application. This will expedite processing

Art Unit: 1753

of your papers. The fax number for regular communications is (703) 305-3599 and the fax number form after-final communications is (703) 305-5408.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Kaj K. Olsen

Patent Examiner

AU 1753

October 8, 2003